

Advancing Educational Assessment in The Era of Artificial Intelligence: Strategy for Digital Transformation

Solomon Chukwu Ohiri¹, Dr. Chidinma Nnennaya Ihebom²

¹Directorate of Academic Planning, Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education, Owerri, Nigeria. <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-4283-9282>

²NYSC Unit, Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education, Owerri, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT	ARTICLE DETAILS
The swift evolution of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is dramatically changing the landscape of education, especially when it comes to assessment. Traditional methods, which focus heavily on standardized tests and final evaluations, are falling short in capturing the complex cognitive, social, and digital skills that are essential in today's world. This paper delves into the transformative power of AI in educational assessment and suggests a strategic framework for embracing digital change. By reviewing current literature, the study highlights AI-driven innovations like adaptive testing, automated grading, learning analytics, and competency-based assessment models. It also tackles important challenges such as ethical issues, algorithmic bias, data privacy, and maintaining academic integrity. The paper emphasizes that a comprehensive, policy-oriented, and pedagogically sound approach are crucial for effectively integrating AI into assessment systems. In the end, it offers practical strategies for institutions aiming to update their assessment practices in an AI-enhanced educational environment.	Published On: 29 September 2026 Available on: https://ijmir.com
KEYWORDS: Artificial Intelligence, Digital Transformation, Adaptive Learning, Academic Integrity	

INTRODUCTION

The rapid evolution of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming the educational landscape in our digital age, particularly when it comes to how we assess learning. The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in educational assessment has been a significant focus in recent academic literature, as assessment continues to change in the digital era. AI has the potential to revolutionize traditional assessment techniques, making them more efficient, accurate, quicker, and tailored to individual needs. Achieving this transformation calls for a robust ecosystem filled with skilled experts and innovators (Chen, Chen, & Lin, 2020).

Educational assessment is crucial for gauging student learning, guiding teaching strategies, and ensuring accountability within our educational systems. Historically, assessments have leaned heavily on standardized tests and summative evaluations that often emphasize rote memorization rather than critical thinking skills. As noted by Yessingeldinov, Rakhymbayeva, Zhapparova, and Tursynova (2023), assessment is a vital part of the teaching and learning process, providing teachers, policymakers, and other stakeholders with insights into student performance, which in turn informs decision-making. They also highlighted that effective assessment helps align lesson plans and tailor activities to better meet students' needs.

However, with the rise of Artificial Intelligence (AI), we now have exciting opportunities to make assessments more dynamic, personalized, and data-driven. AI refers to the capability of machines to simulate human intelligence, enabling them to think, learn, and solve problems independently (Oluyemisi, 2023). It encompasses a broad field of computer science focused on creating intelligent machines that can perform tasks typically requiring human intellect (Valavanidis, 2023). Essentially, AI is an interdisciplinary science that employs various methods, including advancements in machine learning and deep learning. AI encompasses a range of methods and systems designed to carry out tasks that mimic human intelligence, including thinking, analysis, learning, and problem-solving (Alissa & Hamadneh, 2023). These AI systems leverage algorithms and data to tackle tasks that usually require human cognitive abilities, like recognizing patterns, making decisions, and understanding both language and visual information (Oladipo et al., 2024). One of the fascinating aspects of AI is its ability to improve over time through machine learning, allowing it to adapt its actions based on new information.

AI being utilized across various sectors, such as robotics, healthcare, finance, and education, driving innovations that boost efficiency, accuracy, and the automation of complex processes that once relied heavily on human input (Iweuno, Orekha, Ojediran, Imohimi, & Adu-Twum, 2024). As we increasingly depend on computers for teaching and learning, there is a growing interest in integrating AI into assessment practices. This shift presents educators and students with fresh and innovative ways to engage with educational assessment concepts.

The rising incorporation of AI technologies in education mirrors broader trends linked to digital transformation. Educational institutions around the globe are embracing digital tools to enhance teaching, learning, and administrative tasks (UNESCO, 2023). In this evolving landscape, assessment systems need to adapt to stay relevant and effective (OECD, 2021). AI has the potential to analyze vast amounts of data, deliver real-time feedback, and support personalized learning paths, effectively addressing many of the shortcomings of traditional assessment models (Luckin, Holmes, Griffiths, & Forcier, 2016; Zhai, Chu, Cox, & Li, 2021). Therefore, it is crucial to recognize that the scope of assessment should go beyond the usual pencil-and-paper tests, incorporating technology to provide quick and efficient access to student progress data, which in turn enables timely interventions (Yessingeldinov et al, 2023).

This study is crucial because it has the potential to revolutionize educational assessment practices using AI. While much of the current research talks about AI in general terms, this study dives deeper by offering frameworks that are specifically designed to address the unique challenges and cultural contexts of various educational environments. It looks closely at how to strategically integrate AI, focusing on important aspects like equity, ethical issues, and the hurdles that come with implementation. By thoroughly analyzing both the theoretical models and real-world applications of AI, this study provides practical strategies for educators and policymakers who want to improve assessment methods and boost learning outcomes.

THE EVOLUTION OF EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT IN THE AGE OF ARTIFICIAL

Educational assessment has come a long way, evolving from traditional, paper-based tests to innovative, AI-driven evaluation systems that not only gauge what students know but also how they think and apply their knowledge. While conventional standardized tests like multiple-choice questions and written essays were straightforward to administer, they often fell short in catering to diverse learning styles and providing timely feedback (Saputra, Kurniawan, Yanita, Putri, & Mahniza, 2024). Now, artificial intelligence is at the forefront of this exciting transformation.

One of the most noticeable advancements is AI-powered adaptive testing. Unlike the older computer-based tests, AI algorithms can adjust the difficulty of questions in real time based on a student's answers, creating a more personalized assessment experience and helping to alleviate test fatigue (Saputra et al., 2024). But it doesn't stop at just scoring; AI systems dive deeper by analyzing log files, response times, and interaction patterns to uncover problem-solving strategies and misconceptions. This means assessments can now capture not just the final answers but also the entire learning process itself (National Center for Education Statistics, 2019).

Moreover, artificial intelligence is making it possible to automate the evaluation of more complex tasks. With natural language processing models, essays, open-ended responses, and coding assignments can be scored quickly and consistently, lightening the load for instructors and speeding up feedback loops. Governments are embracing these tools on a large scale: for instance, Punjab's Grade-8 examinations now utilize AI-integrated, QR-coded, and CCTV-monitored systems for marking and oversight (Punjab Education, Curriculum, Training and Assessment Authority [PECTAA], 2026).

This technological evolution is reinforcing the trend toward competency-based and student-centered assessment. AI can effectively map student responses to specific skills and competencies, offering detailed feedback that highlights the importance of application over rote memorization (Alrababah & Molnár, 2021). For educators, AI dashboards are game-changers. They highlight learning gaps early on and suggest targeted interventions, allowing for ongoing formative assessment instead of just relying on those high-stakes tests.

The COVID-19 pandemic really accelerated the adoption of AI in assessments, pushing institutions to innovate quickly in remote and hybrid settings. Schools rolled out AI proctoring, automated grading, and affordable cloud-based systems to keep things running smoothly. Research on hybrid cloud-based assessments shows that a whopping 84% of examinees had positive experiences, praising the efficiency and accessibility (Saputra et al., 2024).

Looking to the future, artificial intelligence is set to transform assessment into a continuous, authentic process that adapts to individual learning journeys. As generative models and intelligent tutoring systems evolve, the emphasis is shifting from grading as a final step to viewing assessment as an integral part of the learning experience itself.

THE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT

Since AI first emerged, there has been quite a bit of discussion among scientists about how it can be applied across different fields. Some argue that it could be the key to elevating human society to new heights (Valavanidis, 2023), while others worry it might lead us down a path of self-destruction. However, the majority of scientists believe that AI will have a crucial and positive impact on our world. In fact, Ugwuanyi, Elejere, and Ugwuanyi (2025) suggested that the potential educational benefits of AI call

for teachers, students, and school leaders to actively and meaningfully engage with this technology. Integrating AI into educational assessment systems brings several notable advantages. These include:

Adaptive And Personalized Assessment: AI can tailor educational content to fit each student's unique needs, making study time more efficient and boosting academic performance. This comes in contrast to linear, non-adaptive assessments where all examinees respond to the same or equivalent forms of a test in a predetermined order (Ohiri, Ezeugo, Ihebom & Ohiri, 2024).

By leveraging advanced AI technologies, we can employ hyper-personalization techniques to thoroughly track students' data and habits, easily generating lesson plans, reminders, study guides, flash notes, and revision schedules (Zawacki-Richter, Marín, Bond, & Gouverneur, 2019; UNESCO, 2023). AI-driven assessments adjust to the individual learner's requirements, offering customized feedback and support (Holmes, Bialik, & Fadel, 2022). This personalized learning approach, combined with real-time feedback, enhances student engagement and motivation (Panadero & Lipnevich, 2022). Moreover, AI-powered systems can analyze student performance on the fly, adjusting the difficulty of questions as needed (Shute & Ventura, 2013). This adaptive assessment method ensures that each learner is evaluated according to their own abilities, providing a more accurate reflection of their competence (Popenici & Kerr, 2017).

AI algorithms are great at pinpointing both strengths and weaknesses, providing personalized feedback and suggestions for improvement. These AI-driven assessments are designed to accommodate various learning styles and needs, making education more accessible for everyone. Students get customized feedback and support, which helps enhance their learning outcomes.

Automated Grading and Feedback: AI tools can handle the evaluation of homework, essays, and tests, allowing instructors to dedicate more time to teaching and mentoring. One of the standout benefits of AI is how it automates the grading process. With Natural Language Processing (NLP) and machine learning techniques, essays, short answers, and even complex problem-solving tasks can be assessed efficiently by training models on human-scored responses (Page, 1994; Attali & Burstein, 2006). This not only lightens the load for educators but also ensures that grading remains consistent by reducing scorer fatigue and variability (Williamson, Xi, & Breyer, 2012). Automated Essay Scoring systems have been shown to achieve human-level agreement while providing immediate feedback at scale (Shermis & Hamner, 2013). AI thus empowers educational institutions to scale their assessment processes without sacrificing quality, which is especially advantageous in large educational systems and online learning environments where manual grading is not feasible (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

Enhanced Accessibility: AI-powered tools are making a real difference for students with learning disabilities, promoting inclusivity and fairness in education. These innovative systems are crafted to cater to various learning styles and cognitive profiles by personalizing instruction, pacing, and feedback in real time (AlAli & Wardat, 2024; Sarkar, Kumar, & Madhu, 2026). Take Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) like ALEKS and Q-interactive, for example; they adjust the difficulty of materials based on how students respond, creating tailored learning pathways for those with dyslexia, dyscalculia, and other learning challenges (Sarkar, Kumar, & Madhu, 2026).

However, it does not stop at instruction. AI also opens up assessment options that meet diverse needs. Adaptive learning systems and AI-driven assessment tools provide personalized, objective ways to measure progress through various formats, such as speech-to-text, text-to-speech, text simplification, and gamified quizzes. This approach helps break down barriers for students with visual, hearing, or cognitive impairments (Garg, 2023; Sarkar, Kumar, & Madhu, 2026). Generative AI tools, including conversational agents and visual recognition systems like Seeing AI, further assist students who learn differently by offering ongoing, judgment-free help with reading, writing, and comprehension, all while allowing for repetition and pacing that suits the learner (AlAli & Wardat, 2024).

Moreover, these tools align perfectly with Universal Design for Learning principles and rights-based frameworks like the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, broadening opportunities for participation and independence (Balci & Meylani, 2025). By delivering real-time analytics and adaptive feedback, AI empowers educators to spot at-risk learners early and implement targeted support plans, making inclusive education more scalable and equitable (Sarkar, Kumar, & Madhu, 2026).

Data-Driven Decision-Making: AI is making a real difference in education, and one of the key ways it does this is through analytics. The insights generated by AI provide educators with real-time information about how engaged students are, their progress, and their learning habits. This allows for targeted interventions that can really boost learning outcomes (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019; AlAli & Wardat, 2024). With continuous feedback and data-driven insights, students get personalized recommendations, while teachers can tweak their instruction to meet specific needs, ultimately leading to better performance and results (Chen, Chen, & Lin, 2020).

Additionally, AI makes it easier to gather and analyze large amounts of educational data from learning management systems, assessments, and various learning platforms. This capability helps teachers identify learning gaps, track trends, and develop evidence-based teaching strategies (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020). On top of that, predictive analytics and learning analytics models can spot students who might be at risk of falling behind or dropping out by examining patterns in grades, attendance, and engagement

(Siemens & Baker, 2012; Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020). This means that timely, proactive interventions can be put in place to enhance retention and success rates, especially in larger classes and online learning settings (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

Enhanced Accuracy and Reliability: AI boosts accuracy and reliability by cutting down on human error and maintaining consistency in grading, particularly in large-scale assessments. Systematic reviews of AI in higher education show that automated systems are mainly used for assessment and evaluation because they can apply standardized criteria to a wide range of students, which helps to minimize variability (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). With AI and NLP techniques, essays and short answers can be scored automatically by matching student responses against extensive collections of human-graded examples, leading to a more objective and consistent evaluation process (Chen, Chen, & Lin, 2020).

Moreover, AI systems excel at gathering and processing vast amounts of educational data from Learning Management Systems (LMS) and online platforms (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020). This ability to scale makes automated assessment a viable solution for large courses and online learning environments, where manual grading would simply be impractical (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

EMERGING TRENDS IN AI-DRIVEN ASSESSMENT

Assessment is evolving beyond just simple scoring, venturing into areas that were once tough to measure on a large scale. With AI taking the reins on adaptive testing, automated feedback, and real-time analytics, educators are beginning to rethink what a “test” can truly accomplish. The emphasis is now on tools that are continuous, personalized, and more adept at capturing how students think, rather than just what they can recall. Here are some of the exciting trends in AI-driven assessment:

Competency-Based and Authentic Assessment: AI is leading the charge in creating authentic assessment methods that truly measure real-world skills. Instead of sticking to traditional tests, we are now looking at tasks that reflect actual professional practice. With the help of AI-powered platforms, we can design and implement project-based assessments, simulations, and scenario-based tasks that challenge students to apply their knowledge in complex, open-ended situations (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). These innovative systems leverage Natural Language Processing and adaptive algorithms to present realistic problems, offer immediate feedback, and adjust the difficulty of tasks based on how students perform. This approach fosters critical thinking and problem-solving skills (Chen, Chen, & Lin, 2020). In the realm of higher education, AI is increasingly being utilized to enhance assessment and evaluation methods that go beyond simple recall. This includes analyzing student work in simulations and virtual environments. By gathering data from student interactions during these tasks, AI empowers educators to assess competencies like decision-making, collaboration, and the application of knowledge in context (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020). This data-driven strategy allows for formative feedback right during the task, helping students refine their strategies and develop transferable skills that align with what employers are looking for (Chen, Chen, & Lin, 2020).

Continuous and Formative Assessment: Unlike the traditional assessment models that often rely heavily on high-stakes examinations, AI opens the door to continuous assessment by weaving evaluation into the entire learning experience. AI-driven platforms utilize learning analytics to keep an eye on student interactions in real time, offering ongoing feedback. This shift moves assessment away from being a one-time event and integrates it into the instructional process. As a result, instructors can spot learning gaps early and step in to help, which ultimately boosts student retention and enhances learning outcomes in higher education (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020).

Systematic reviews of AI in higher education highlight “assessment and evaluation” and “adaptive systems and personalization” as two key areas of application (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). These systems can sift through data from assignments, quizzes, and learning platforms to deliver feedback and customize content for individual learners. By harnessing Natural Language Processing and machine learning, AI tools can facilitate formative assessment practices that provide students with guidance throughout their learning journey, rather than just after a final examination (Chen et al., 2020). In this way, AI redefines assessment as a means for continuous improvement rather than merely a way to measure performance.

Multimodal Assessment: AI is revolutionizing how we evaluate different types of student work, whether it is text, audio, video, or interactive media. This approach gives us a much richer understanding of what students can do. Research in education highlights that meaning is not conveyed through words alone; it also comes from images, sounds, gestures, and layout (Jewitt, 2008). Traditional assessments that focus solely on writing often overlook important ways students express their understanding. AI tools can bridge this gap by analyzing various modes simultaneously.

Thanks to recent breakthroughs in vision-language models, AI can now process images, video frames, and text all at once, providing feedback on student projects that include diagrams, slides, and written explanations (Li, Li, Xiong, & Hoi, 2023). This shift allows us to assess more than just factual recall; it enables us to evaluate how students combine different modes to showcase their knowledge, which is essential for thriving in the 21st century (Cope & Kalantzis, 2009).

AI Assessment Frameworks: Frameworks like the AI Assessment Scale (AIAS) provide a structured approach to incorporating AI into assessments. These frameworks help educators figure out the right levels of AI integration while maintaining academic integrity and achieving desired learning outcomes (Perkins, Furze, Roe, & MacVaugh, 2023).

The AIAS was created to tackle the uncertainties surrounding generative AI in education. Its two main goals are to "encourage open conversations between educators and students about the appropriate use of GenAI and to assist educators in redesigning assessments as AI capabilities continue to grow" (Perkins, Roe, & Furze, 2024).

The scale features five distinct levels of GenAI integration, ranging from "No AI" to "AI Exploration" (Perkins, Roe, & Furze, 2024). These levels are meant to be flexible across various educational settings and are rooted in principles of assessment validity and social constructivism. A pilot study indicated that using the scale at institutions led to fewer instances of academic misconduct and a better ethical integration of GenAI tools (Perkins, Furze, Roe, & MacVaugh, 2023).

STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK FOR DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION IN ASSESSMENT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has woven itself into the fabric of education, reshaping how we teach and learn. With AI-driven technologies, educational assessment strategies are becoming more adaptive and personalized (Huang, Saleh, & Liu, 2021). For institutions to effectively weave AI into their assessment processes, a thorough digital transformation and strategic approach is essential, which includes:

Policy Development and Governance: It is essential to create clear institutional policies that steer the ethical use of AI in assessments. Instead of being just a static compliance document, developing these policies should be an ongoing, flexible process that adapts to technological advancements and the needs of the institution (Selwyn, 2022). These policies need to tackle three main issues head-on:

a) **Data privacy:** When it comes to the ethical governance of AI in education, we must also consider how educational data is collected and processed. Institutions should have oversight procedures in place to manage the collection, storage, and use of student data by AI tools, ensuring there is no misuse. Experts point out that the use of AI in education raises significant concerns about surveillance, data extraction, and the absence of student consent, which is why incorporating privacy-by-design principles into institutional policy is crucial (Selwyn, 2022; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

b) **Academic integrity:** Policies should start with a strong commitment to academic integrity. They need to clearly define acceptable uses of AI in teaching, learning, and assessment, as well as what counts as academic dishonesty involving AI, like failing to disclose the use of AI-generated content. Current best practices suggest that institutions should be transparent about when and how AI is utilized in course design and offer training for both staff and students on responsible usage to uphold trust and accountability (Chan, 2023; Crompton & Burke, 2023).

c) **Algorithmic transparency and fairness:** When it comes to algorithmic transparency and fairness, governance frameworks really need to focus on making AI-supported decisions clear and understandable. It is crucial to tackle the issue of algorithmic bias head-on. Transparency should not only explain how AI tools are used in assessments but also ensure that these tools do not end up worsening existing inequalities. Research indicates that without proper transparency and fairness audits, AI assessment tools can perpetuate bias and hinder equity in education (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). In practice, institutions ought to weave ethical, legal, and pedagogical considerations into their AI strategies. This means addressing everything from data management and academic integrity to procurement standards, transparency requirements, staff development, and institutional oversight in their policies (Chan, 2023; Selwyn, 2022).

Capacity Building and Training: To truly harness the power of digital tools and Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education, we need to invest thoughtfully in building up our educators' skills. It is not just about giving teachers and school leaders basic digital know-how; they also need a solid understanding of how to effectively use AI applications to enhance teaching, learning, and assessment (UNESCO, 2023). Without this foundation, technology could end up being an underused asset instead of a game-changer in the classroom (Darling-Hammond et al., 2020).

That is the reason professional development programmes must go beyond the typical one-off ICT workshops. They need to focus on ongoing digital literacy, data literacy, and innovative teaching strategies (OECD, 2021). Digital literacy means being able to find, assess, create, and share information using digital tools, while AI literacy is all about understanding how AI systems function, their limitations, and the ethical considerations involved (Ng et al., 2021).

It is especially crucial for educators to understand how AI can improve assessment practices. AI-driven tools can offer timely, tailored feedback to students, automate the grading of objective questions, and analyze student performance trends to guide teaching decisions (Zhai et al., 2021; Luckin et al., 2022). However, for teachers to make the most of these tools, they need training in assessment design, data interpretation, and how to maintain their own judgment in AI-assisted grading to prevent bias (Holmes et al., 2022).

In Nigeria, the National Policy on Education acknowledges that professional development for teachers is vital for ensuring quality, yet there are still gaps in digital skills implementation (FRN, 2013; UBEC, 2022). Therefore, capacity-building initiatives

from TETFund, UBEC, and state ministries should prioritize AI and digital assessment literacy to meet the demands of the 21st-century teaching.

Infrastructure and Technology Integration: Building a solid digital foundation is absolutely essential for implementing AI-driven assessments. Without dependable power, internet access, devices, and platforms, even the most advanced AI tools will struggle to make an impact in schools (World Bank, 2022). AI assessment systems rely on three key layers of infrastructure:

a) Connectivity and cloud platforms: For AI assessments to work effectively, schools need stable broadband and cloud computing capabilities to run machine learning models and manage large datasets (UNESCO, 2023). Cloud-based Learning Management Systems such as Moodle, Google Classroom, and Canvas enable schools to deliver adaptive tests and synchronize data without the need for costly on-site servers (UNESCO Institute for Information Technologies in Education, 2021). The World Bank (2022) highlights that in Sub-Saharan Africa, only 36% of secondary schools have access to functional internet, which significantly hampers AI adoption.

b) Data analytics and interoperability: It is not just about storing data; schools also need platforms that can seamlessly integrate results from AI assessments with attendance records, grades, and curriculum maps (OECD, 2023). Learning analytics platforms transform raw assessment data into useful dashboards for teachers and policymakers (Holmes et al., 2022). However, this integration only works if systems adhere to common data standards, allowing tools from different vendors to communicate effectively (European Commission, 2020).

c) Security, power, and maintenance: AI systems introduce new challenges related to data privacy and bias. Schools must prioritize investing in cybersecurity measures and ensure compliance with national data protection laws (Holmes et al., 2022). Additionally, they need reliable electricity, regular device maintenance, and dedicated IT support staff-elements that are often overlooked in EdTech initiatives (World Bank, 2022).

In Nigeria, the Federal Ministry of Education (2023) has rolled out a revised ICT policy that emphasizes the creation of "smart schools." These schools will be equipped with solar power, reliable internet access, and cloud-based Learning Management Systems (LMS) to facilitate ongoing assessments. The policy suggests a phased approach: first, ensure that schools have power and internet connectivity, then introduce the LMS, and finally incorporate AI for assessment and analytics (FME, 2023). For public secondary schools in Nigeria, some practical steps include collaborating with NITDA and telecommunications companies to provide internet access, utilizing government cloud services to keep costs down, training ICT officers in LMS and data analytics, and starting with offline-first AI tools that can synchronize when they go online need to be cultivated (NITDA, 2024). By prioritizing infrastructure, we can transition AI assessments from mere pilot projects to sustainable practices (OECD, 2023).

Curriculum and Assessment Redesign: To keep education relevant in our digital world, we need to thoughtfully redesign our curriculum and assessment practices to match the learning outcomes we aim for and make the most of AI technologies (UNESCO, 2023). Traditional assessment methods, which often depend on end-of-term examinations, tend to focus more on memorization than on the skills students really need for the 21st century (Biggs & Tang, 2022).

It is clear that we need to move towards formative and competency-based evaluations. Formative assessments offer ongoing, timely feedback that helps students track their progress and address any gaps in their performance, while competency-based assessments check if students can actually demonstrate specific knowledge, skills, and attitudes in real-life situations (Black & Wiliam, 2018; OECD, 2019). AI tools can play a crucial role in this transition by automating feedback, analyzing learner data, and personalizing assessment tasks on a large scale (Zhai et al., 2021; Luckin et al., 2022).

Specifically, we should focus on three key approaches in redesigning assessments. First, formative assessments like quizzes, exit tickets, and AI-driven adaptive tests can provide real-time insights for both teachers and students (Heritage, 2018). Second, project-based tasks enable learners to apply their knowledge to tackle real-world challenges, assessing skills like collaboration, critical thinking, and creativity-qualities that multiple-choice tests often miss (Larmer, Mergendoller, & Boss, 2015). Lastly, reflective activities such as learning journals and e-portfolios encourage students to develop metacognitive skills and take charge of their own learning (Boud & Molloy, 2016).

In Nigeria, the National Policy on Education highlights continuous assessment as a means to enhance learning outcomes (FRN, 2013). However, the reality has mostly leaned towards summative assessments. To truly integrate AI-enabled formative and competency-based assessments, we must rethink curriculum documents, teacher training, and the practices of examination bodies like West African Examinations Council (WAEC) and National Examinations Council (NECO) regarding item design and moderation (UBEC, 2022).

Stakeholder Engagement: The successful implementation of AI-driven assessment systems hinges on teamwork among educators, students, policymakers, and technology providers. Fullan (2016) points out that educational reforms often stumble when key stakeholders are left out of the planning and execution process. In the realm of EdTech, Selwyn (2016) highlights that technology adoption thrives only when it resonates with teachers' practices and fits within the school environment. Teachers play a crucial role. In Nigeria, studies reveal that large class sizes and overwhelming teacher workloads hinder the quality and promptness of

assessments and feedback in secondary schools (World Bank, 2020; Ohiri, & Onyejekwe, 2026). If teachers are not involved in crafting AI tools, the adoption is likely to be just skin-deep. Engaging students is equally important. Research on feedback shows that when students grasp assessment criteria and take part in the feedback process, they tend to achieve better learning outcomes (Ohiri, & Onyejekwe, 2026). On the policy front, UNESCO (2021) asserts that digital transformation in education should be steered by inclusive policies that promote equity, teacher training, and necessary infrastructure. Technology providers need to collaborate with schools in the design process. The OECD (2019) advocates for partnerships between educators and EdTech developers to ensure that AI systems are ethical, transparent, and tailored to local needs. In Nigeria, the Federal Ministry of Education (2019) stresses that effective ICT integration calls for collaboration among government, schools, parents, and private sector partners.

Continuous Monitoring and Evaluation: For AI-driven assessment systems to truly thrive, institutions need to make continuous monitoring and evaluation a core part of their operations. Research in educational technology highlights that without regular check-ins, new innovations often end up being poorly executed or even discarded after their initial launch (Fullan, 2016). By continuously evaluating these tools, institutions can determine if AI is meeting learning objectives, being utilized as intended, and delivering results that are both valid and fair (OECD, 2019; UNESCO, 2021). Data and feedback play a crucial role in this process. Formative evaluation through learning analytics can uncover trends in student performance, teacher engagement, and system glitches, allowing for timely adjustments (Siemens, 2013). In the realm of assessment, creating feedback loops among students, teachers, and administrators is essential for fine-tuning AI algorithms and ensuring that automated feedback remains relevant and useful in a pedagogical context (Nicol & Macfarlane-Dick, 2006; Hattie & Timperley, 2007).

In addition to monitoring, institutions must establish clear digital strategies. The OECD (2019) suggests that schools and universities craft strategy documents that outline specific goals for technology integration, emphasize user-centered design, and allocate resources for building capacity. A digital strategy should go beyond just the technology itself; it should focus on how that technology enhances teaching and learning (Selwyn, 2016). Collaboration across departments is also vital. Implementing AI assessments involves various units, including IT, academics, quality assurance, and student support. Research on ICT in education, particularly in developing regions, shows that working in silos can lead to redundancy and failure, while cross-functional collaboration boosts adoption and sustainability (Ololube, 2006; Federal Ministry of Education, 2019). In Nigeria, the National ICT in Education Policy advocates for coordinated planning among federal, state, and institutional stakeholders to prevent fragmented EdTech initiatives (Federal Ministry of Education, 2019).

In public secondary schools across Nigeria, this would involve creating a dedicated monitoring team to oversee how the system is being used. They would gather feedback from both teachers and students every term, set three-year goals for digital assessments, and make sure the ICT department collaborates with subject departments to customize AI tools.

Investing in Teacher Training: The successful implementation of AI-powered assessment platforms really hinges on the capabilities of teachers. It is not just about having the technology; what truly makes a difference in learning outcomes is the teachers' understanding of pedagogy, their technology skills, and their ability to assess effectively (Mishra & Koehler, 2006). Without focused professional development, teachers might end up using AI tools in a shallow way or just fall back on traditional methods (OECD, 2019). That is the reason professional development programmes need to go beyond just basic ICT training. Research indicates that effective training for educators in EdTech should concentrate on three key areas: 1) the technical know-how to navigate AI platforms, 2) pedagogical techniques for weaving AI feedback into their teaching, and 3) data literacy to analyze analytics and make informed instructional choices (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017; Luckin et al., 2022). Simply holding one-off workshops is not enough. Studies on school reform have shown that ongoing, job-embedded professional learning fosters more profound changes in classroom practices (Fullan, 2016). In Nigeria, for instance, insufficient training is frequently cited as a significant hurdle to adopting ICT in secondary schools (Ohiri et al., 2024). Many teachers feel unsure about using digital tools for assessments because their pre-service and in-service training has not kept up with the rapid pace of technological advancements (Ololube, 2016; Federal Ministry of Education, 2019). This issue is echoed in global policy as well. UNESCO (2021) suggests that countries should invest in continuous professional learning to help teachers become "AI-ready." Likewise, the OECD (2019) emphasized the need for collaborative professional development that is integrated into teachers' daily routines and backed by school leadership. For public secondary schools in Nigeria, this translates to creating termly training workshops, fostering peer learning communities, and establishing mentorship partnerships with EdTech providers. When teachers receive support to grasp both the "how" and the "why" behind AI assessments, their adoption and effectiveness see a significant boost.

Fostering Innovation: For schools aiming to boost learning outcomes through AI, fostering a culture of experimentation is key. It is not just about following rules. Real innovation in assessment goes beyond simply buying the latest software. It calls for school leaders to carve out time, grant permission, and offer support for teachers to try out AI-driven assessment tools and learning analytics dashboards. This way, they can reflect on the outcomes and tweak the curriculum as needed (Popenici & Kerr, 2017; OECD, 2021). Learning analytics dashboards are especially valuable for shaping curriculum design. These tools pull together data from AI assessments to reveal trends in student performance, pinpoint learning gaps, and track engagement in real time (Gašević, Dawson,

& Siemens, 2016). When teachers and curriculum coordinators tap into these dashboard insights, they can shift from just an annual curriculum review to a more dynamic, evidence-based approach to improvement. For instance, if a dashboard indicates that 70% of SS2 students are struggling with quadratic equations, the department can quickly revamp instruction and reassess within weeks, rather than waiting for WAEC results. However, for innovation to truly take off, it needs support. Research on EdTech adoption highlights three essential conditions: 1) leadership that safeguards time for experimentation and risk-taking, 2) professional learning communities where teachers can share successful strategies, and 3) access to dependable infrastructure and data privacy measures (Fullan, 2016; UNESCO, 2023). Without these elements, innovative efforts often remain isolated and fade away once funding runs out. In Nigeria, the National Policy on ICT in Education envisions schools as "innovation hubs," encouraging teachers to experiment with digital tools and exchange best practices (Federal Ministry of Education, 2019). The OECD (2021) also emphasizes that school-level innovation is most effective when teachers collaborate with students and EdTech providers to co-design tools that truly meet the needs of local classrooms.

In Nigeria's public secondary schools, encouraging innovation might look like hosting termly "EdTech pilot weeks," creating a teacher-led dashboard committee to analyze learning data, and forming partnerships with universities or EdTech companies for sandbox testing. By viewing AI assessment as a means to explore the curriculum instead of merely a grading tool, schools can keep their teaching methods in alignment with what students really need (Luckin et al., 2022).

CHALLENGES AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

While we have made great strides in technology, there are still some serious concerns that need our attention. Ethical dilemmas like algorithmic bias and data privacy are significant hurdles that could hinder the widespread use of AI in educational assessments. The introduction of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the education sector has opened up a world of opportunities and challenges, especially when it comes to evaluating student performance (Abdul-Wahab, Ali, Abdullahi, Yusuf, & Shehu, 2024).

On one hand, AI brings a host of benefits, including personalized learning experiences, automated grading, and tailored content recommendations. On the other hand, it raises important issues, particularly around maintaining academic integrity. As AI tools become more advanced, there is a growing risk that students might exploit these technologies to gain unfair advantages in their studies. Data security and privacy are also major concerns. With AI systems collecting vast amounts of information about students' performance, preferences, and behaviours, the potential for data breaches or misuse is significant (Shafiq, 2025). Without robust data security measures in place, students' private information could be at risk of being compromised or misused (Fabunmi, Hananiya & Adelaja, 2025). For educational institutions, especially in areas where technology is still catching up, integrating AI tools into assessment processes can be particularly challenging. Moreover, the emergence of generative AI tools has sparked debates about academic integrity and the authenticity of student work. Despite the promise that AI holds, its integration into educational assessment comes with a range of challenges, including:

Academic Integrity and Authenticity: The emergence of generative AI tools like ChatGPT has made it all too easy for students to churn out essays, code, and answers without truly engaging with the material (UNESCO, 2023). This raises significant concerns about plagiarism, authorship, and the reliability of assessment outcomes. In response, educational institutions are moving away from "AI-proof" tests and are instead embracing "AI-transparent" assessments that encourage students to demonstrate their reasoning, reflection, and processes (OECD, 2024). This shift includes oral defenses, portfolio tasks, and assessments that challenge students to critique AI-generated content rather than simply create it (European Commission, 2022). Just banning AI is not the answer; we need to redesign tasks that emphasize critical thinking and originality (Luckin, 2022).

Algorithmic Bias and Fairness: AI systems learn from historical data, which can carry over existing biases related to gender, language, disability, and socio-economic status (UNESCO, 2023). For instance, automated essay scoring and proctoring tools have been found to be less accurate for non-native speakers and students with disabilities (OECD, 2024). To combat this, the OECD (2024) and UNESCO (2023) suggest that AI in education should be auditable, explainable, and tested for fairness across various student demographics before it is rolled out. It is also crucial for schools to maintain human oversight when it comes to high-stakes decisions.

Data Privacy and Security: AI assessment platforms gather a significant amount of sensitive student information, including responses, behaviour logs, and biometrics. This situation heightens the risks of data breaches, surveillance, and potential misuse (World Bank, 2023). According to UNESCO's (2023) Guidance on Generative AI, it is crucial that education data is managed with clear consent, defined purposes, and adherence to national data protection laws. In Nigeria, the Nigeria Data Protection Act 2023 now requires schools to appoint Data Protection Officers and ensure that student data is securely stored (NITDA, 2024). Without these protective measures in place, public trust in AI systems is likely to diminish.

Technological and Institutional Barriers: Even with solid policies, progress can be sluggish where infrastructure is lacking. The World Bank (2023) highlights that in Sub-Saharan Africa, only 34% of secondary schools have dependable internet and electricity-

both of which are vital for cloud-based AI assessments. Additional hurdles include inadequate funding, a shortage of technical support staff, and a fragmented approach to acquiring EdTech tools (OECD, 2024).

Resistance to Change and Capacity Gaps: Teachers play a crucial role in the assessment process. Many are reluctant to embrace AI due to low digital literacy, concerns about job security, and a lack of trust in "black box" systems (Fullan, 2016; UNESCO, 2024). Research indicates that resistance tends to decrease when teachers are involved in the co-design process, receive ongoing training, and are presented with clear evidence that AI can lighten their workload instead of adding to it (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017).

Strong institutional leadership is also essential. Change is more likely to succeed when school leaders advocate for AI integration and align it with curriculum objectives (FME, 2023). For public secondary schools in Nigeria, addressing these challenges requires more than just purchasing software. A phased strategy is necessary. First, establish infrastructure and data governance; next, train teachers; and finally, pilot AI tools with robust integrity policies (NITDA, 2024; FME, 2023).

IMPLICATIONS FOR EDUCATIONAL PRACTICE

The way we assess learning is evolving with the integration of AI in classrooms. Rather than taking over the role of teachers, AI is transforming their primary responsibilities from grading and marking to facilitating, coaching, and making data-driven decisions (UNESCO, 2023; OECD, 2024).

With AI handling routine tasks like marking, providing feedback, and analyzing data, teachers can dedicate more time to mentoring students, crafting complex assignments, and interpreting analytics to better support individual learners (Luckin, 2022). This shift calls for a change in how teachers see themselves professionally. They need to build their data literacy skills to navigate AI dashboards and use evidence to tailor their instruction, while keeping their human judgment intact for those critical decisions (OECD, 2024). The OECD (2024) emphasizes that the best outcomes with AI happen when teachers are involved in designing assessments rather than just using them. In Nigeria, the FME (2023) ICT policy echoes this by encouraging teachers to take on the role of "learning guides," using technology to provide personalized support.

AI opens the door to adaptive assessments that can change difficulty levels based on how students respond, as well as multimodal formats that help students with disabilities or language challenges showcase their skills beyond just written tests (UNESCO, 2024). For assessments to be fair, they need to align with 21st-century skills like critical thinking, collaboration, creativity, and problem-solving, rather than just rote memorization (World Bank, 2023). The European Commission (2022) suggests shifting away from high-stakes examinations in favour of continuous, portfolio-based assessments that incorporate AI feedback while emphasizing real-world applications.

Students today need more than just technical know-how; they must also cultivate digital literacy, the ability to critically assess AI outputs, and a solid grasp of the ethical implications surrounding data, bias, and authorship (UNESCO, 2023). According to UNESCO's (2023) AI competency framework, there are four key areas to focus on: a human-centered mindset, ethics, AI techniques, and their practical applications. Without these competencies, students may become overly dependent on AI, which could stifle their original thinking (Luckin, 2022).

On the institutional side, schools and educational ministries need to foster a culture of innovation and continuous improvement. This means creating systems that encourage experimentation and learning from mistakes. For instance, providing teachers with dedicated time to explore AI tools, forming partnerships with EdTech companies for collaborative design, and implementing policies that celebrate innovative teaching methods rather than just focusing on examination results (Fullan, 2016; Darling-Hammond et al., 2017). The World Bank (2023) highlights that for AI to be adopted sustainably, strong leadership is essential—one that invests in infrastructure, safeguards data, and establishes feedback loops between classroom practices and policy. In Nigeria, this could translate to setting up "AI learning laboratories" in selected schools to pilot adaptive assessments before a broader implementation (NITDA, 2024). When these changes occur in tandem, AI can transform assessment into a more formative, inclusive process that aligns with the skills students need for both their careers and active citizenship (UNESCO, 2024).

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The future of educational assessment is heading towards an exciting blend of Artificial Intelligence and immersive technologies such as Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR). Rather than relying on traditional static tests, AI-driven VR/AR can immerse learners in simulated, real-world scenarios where they are evaluated based on their thinking, decision-making, and performance in context (Radianti et al., 2020; UNESCO, 2024).

Moreover, as AI advances, it will allow for a more nuanced analysis of student learning, taking into account emotional and behavioural data. Yet, as we move forward, it is crucial to balance these advancements with ethical considerations to ensure technology is used responsibly. The future of educational assessment hinges on the harmonious blend of AI and human insight. While AI provides powerful tools for automation and personalization, the human touch is irreplaceable when it comes to assessing complex skills like creativity, ethics, and critical thinking.

As AI technology continues to evolve, there is no universal approach to assessment that fits all situations. Educational institutions will need to craft flexible, context-specific strategies that strike a balance between innovation, ethical responsibility, and the realities of their local environments (UNESCO, 2023; OECD, 2024). The aim here is not to replace human judgment but to enhance it with tools that are adaptable, fair, and transparent.

CONCLUSION

As we look at the evolution of educational assessment in the age of Artificial Intelligence, we find ourselves at a crossroads filled with both exciting opportunities and significant challenges. AI has the power to revolutionize assessment systems, making them more personalized, efficient, and inclusive. Yet, this shift demands thoughtful planning, ethical considerations, and a strategic approach to implementation. Digital transformation in educational assessment goes beyond just embracing new technologies; it calls for a fundamental rethinking of what assessment truly means. Educational institutions need to break free from outdated models and adopt innovative strategies that resonate with the realities of our digital and AI-enhanced world. By crafting comprehensive strategies that weave together technology, pedagogy, and policy, schools and universities can tap into the full potential of AI to elevate assessment practices and boost learning outcomes.

The ultimate aim is to develop assessment systems that not only gauge learning but also nurture and inspire it in meaningful ways. In essence, the progress of educational assessment in the realm of Artificial Intelligence offers a transformative chance for educational systems around the globe. AI can help make assessments more personalized and efficient, aligning them with the needs of today's world. However, to successfully implement AI-driven assessments, a strategic approach is essential—one that harmonizes technology, pedagogy, and policy. Institutions must tackle challenges related to ethics, data privacy, and academic integrity while cultivating a culture of innovation and collaboration.

In the end, digital transformation in educational assessment is about more than just adopting new tools; it is about reimagining the very purpose and practice of assessment. By harnessing AI effectively, educational institutions can create richer, more impactful learning experiences for their students.

REFERENCES

- 1) Abdul-Wahab, I, Ali, A. T., Abdullahi, I., Yusuf, O. S. & Shehu A. L. (2024). Artificial intelligence (AI): Perception and utilization of AI technologies in educational assessment in Nigerian Universities. *Edukasiana: Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan*, 3(3), 367-380
- 2) AlAli, R., & Wardat, Y. (2024). Towards promoting innovation in inclusive education: Behavioural intention of teachers towards adopting AI to teach students with learning disabilities in the UAE. *AI and Ethics*, 4, 1367–1379. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-024-00489-2>
- 3) Alissa, R. A. S. & Hamadneh, M. A. (2023). The level of science and mathematics teachers' employment of artificial intelligence applications in the educational process. *International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science, and Technology (IJEMST)*, 11(6), 1597-1608. <https://doi.org/10.46328/ijemst.3806>
- 4) Alrababah, S. A. & Molnár, G. (2021). The evolution of technology-based assessment: Past, present, and future. *International Journal of Learning Technology*, 16(2), 134–157. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJLT.2021.10039256>
- 5) Attali, Y., & Burstein, J. (2006). Automated essay scoring with e-rater® V.2. *Journal of Technology, Learning, and Assessment*, 4(3), 1-31. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19346610600646820>
- 6) Balci, M., & Meylani, R. (2025). AI and assistive technologies for equitable and inclusive education: A comprehensive review of the contemporary research literature. *Proceedings of the 4th International Science, Education, Art & Technology Symposium*, October 09-11, 2025.
- 7) Boud, D., & Molloy, E. (2016). Student placements and their challenge for feedback. In D. Boud & E. Molloy (Eds.), *Feedback practices at work: Maximising student learning on placements* (pp. 1-14). Routledge.
- 8) Chan, C. K. Y. (2023). A comprehensive AI policy education framework for university teaching and learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20(1), 38. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00408-3>
- 9) Chen, L.; Chen, P. & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. *IEEE Access*, 8, 75264-75278. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2988510>.
- 10) Cope, B., & Kalantzis, M. (2009). "Multiliteracies": New literacies, new learning. *Pedagogies: An International Journal*, 4(3), 164–195. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15544800903076044>
- 11) Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: The state of the field. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20(1), 22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00392-8>
- 12) Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). *Effective teacher professional development*. Learning Policy Institute. <https://doi.org/10.54300/122.311>

- 13) Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2020). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*, 24(2), 97-140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2018.1537791>
- 14) European Commission. (2022). Digital education action plan 2021-2027: Resetting education and training for the digital age. <https://doi.org/10.2766/07977>
- 15) Fabunmi, M., Hananiya, P., & Adelaja, O. B. (2025). Artificial intelligence in education. *Unizik Journal of Educational Research and Policy Studies*, 19 (1), 103-122.
- 16) Federal Ministry of Education. (2019). National policy on information and communication technology (ICT) in education. Abuja: FME. <https://education.gov.ng>
- 17) Federal Ministry of Education. (2023). Revised national policy on information and communication technology (ICT) in education. Abuja: FME. <https://education.gov.ng>
- 18) Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2013). National policy on education (6th ed.). NERDC Press.
- 19) Fullan, M. (2016). The new meaning of educational change (5th ed.). Teachers College Press.
- 20) Garg, A. (2023). Exploring artificial intelligence in inclusive education: A systematic review of empirical studies. *Education Sciences*, 13(8), 789. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13080789>
- 21) Gašević, D., Dawson, S., & Siemens, G. (2016). Let's not forget: Learning analytics are about learning. *TechTrends*, 59(1), 64-71. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-014-0822-x>
- 22) Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81-112. <https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430298487>
- 23) Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign. https://curriculumredesign.org/wp-content/uploads/AInEducation_PromisesImplications_CCR.pdf
- 24) Huang, J., Saleh, S., & Liu, Y. (2021). A review on artificial intelligence in education. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 10(3), 206-214. <https://doi.org/10.36941/ajis-2021-0077>
- 25) Ifenthaler, D., & Yau, J. Y. K. (2020). Utilising learning analytics for sustainable student retention in higher education. *Sustainability*, 12(7), 2570. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12072570>
- 26) Iweuno, B. N., Orekha, P., Ojedian, O., Imohimi, E., & Adu-Twum, H. T. (2024). Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for an inclusive and diversified curriculum. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 23(2), 1579-1590. <https://wjarr.com/sites/default/files/WJARR-2024-2440.pdf>
- 27) Jewitt, C. (2008). Multimodality and literacy in school classrooms. *Review of Research in Education*, 32(1), 241-267. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X07310586>
- 28) Larmer, J., Mergendoller, J., & Boss, S. (2015). Setting the standard for project based learning. ASCD.
- 29) Li, J., Li, D., Xiong, C., & Hoi, S. (2023). BLIP: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training for unified vision-language understanding and generation. In *Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Machine Learning* (pp. 6966-6986). PMLR. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2201.12086>
- 30) Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education. Pearson. <https://www.pearson.com/content/dam/corporate/global/pearson-dot-com/files/innovation/Intelligence-Unleashed-Publication.pdf>
- 31) Luckin, R. (2022). Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education. Pearson. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315209240>
- 32) Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers College Record*, 108(6), 1017-1054. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9620.2006.00684.x>
- 33) National Center for Education Statistics. (2019). Digitally based assessment | NAEP. U.S. Department of Education. <https://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/dba/>
- 34) National Information Technology Development Agency. (2024). Nigeria Data Protection Act: Implementation framework for public institutions. Abuja: NITDA. <https://nitda.gov.ng>
- 35) National Information Technology Development Agency. (2024). National digital literacy framework for schools. Abuja: NITDA. <https://nitda.gov.ng>
- 36) Nicol, D. J., & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education*, 31(2), 199-218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070600572090>
- 37) Ng, D. T. K., Leung, J. K. L., Chu, S. K. W., & Qiao, M. S. (2021). Conceptualizing AI literacy: An exploratory review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2, 100041. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100041>

- 38) OECD. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development. <https://doi.org/10.1787/ac6f17fa-en>
- 39) OECD. (2021). 21st-century readers: Developing literacy skills in a digital world. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/a83d84cb-en>
- 40) OECD. (2024). Education at a glance 2024: OECD indicators. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/c00cad36-en>
- 41) Ohiri, S. C., Ezeugo, N. C., Ihebom, C. N., & Ohiri, V. C. (2024). Challenges and solutions in adopting computerized adaptive assessment: Secondary school teachers' perspectives in inclusive classrooms. *International Journal of Research Publication and Reviews*, 5(9), 3559-3569. <https://doi.org/10.55248/gengpi.5.0924.2708>
- 42) Ohiri, S. C. & Onyejiekwe, I. A. (2026). Teacher feedback quality and students' academic achievement in public secondary schools in Imo State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary and Innovative Research*, 3(9), 759-768 <https://doi.org/10.58806/ijmir.2026.v3i9n05>
- 43) Oladipo, E. K., Adeyemo, S. F., Oluwasanya, G. J., Oyinloye, O. R., Oyeyiola, O. H., Akinrinmade, I. D., Elutade, O. A., Areo, D. O., Hamzat, I. O., Olakanmi, O. D. and Ayanronbi, I. I., (2024). Impact and challenges of artificial intelligence integration in the African health sector: a review. *Trends Med Res*, 19(1), pp.220-235. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/tmr.2024.220.235>
- 44) Ololube, N. P. (2006). Teachers job satisfaction and motivation for school effectiveness: An assessment. *Essays in Education*, 18(1), 1-19. <https://www.usca.edu/essays/vol182016/olube.pdf>
- 45) Oluyemisi, O. M. (2023). Impact of Artificial intelligence in Curriculum Development in Nigerian Tertiary Education. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 12(2), 192-211. <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ijer/article/view/270098>
- 46) Page, E. B. (1994). Computer grading of student prose, using modern concepts and software. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 62(2), 127-142. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220973.1994.9943835>
- 47) Panadero, E., & Lipnevich, A. A. (2022). A review of feedback research and theory: Practical educational implications. *Educational Psychology Review*, 34(3), 1401-1434. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-022-09675-4>
- 48) Perkins, M., Furze, L., Roe, J., & MacVaugh, J. (2023). The AI assessment scale (AIAS): A framework for ethical integration of generative AI in educational assessment. *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.07086>
- 49) Perkins, M., Roe, J., & Furze, L. (2024). The AI assessment scale revisited: A framework for educational assessment. *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.09029>
- 50) Popenici, S. A. D., & Kerr, S. (2017). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on teaching and learning in higher education. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 12(1), 22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-017-0062-8>
- 51) Punjab Education, Curriculum, Training and Assessment Authority. (2026, February 21). Grade-8 annual examination 2026 schedule [Facebook post]. Facebook. <https://www.facebook.com/RanaSikandarHayat.Official/posts/pfbid02E1pGpJTUNLFNcjSu5uTvdBYTRRA8eohjZxqsmZo58mwywWA1m9SPYhyHnxitgAffl>
- 52) Radianti, J., Majchrzak, T. A., Fromm, J., & Wohlgenannt, I. (2020). A systematic review of immersive virtual reality applications for higher education: Design elements, lessons learned, and research agenda. *Computers & Education*, 147, 103778. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103778>
- 53) Russell, S. & Norvig, P. (2021). Artificial intelligence: A modern approach, 4th Edition. London: Pearson Education, Inc.
- 54) Saputra, I., Kurniawan, A., Yanita, M., Putri, E. Y., & Mahniza, M. (2024). The evolution of educational assessment: How artificial intelligence is shaping the trends and future of learning evaluation. *Indonesian Journal of Computer Science*, 13(6), 9056-9074. <https://doi.org/10.33022/ijcs.v13i6.4465>
- 55) Sarkar, R., Kumar, P., & Madhu, R. (2026). AI for inclusive education: Ensuring equity and access for students with disabilities. In P. Singh & A. Sharma (Eds.), *AI and Accessibility in Education* (Chapter 4). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-5097-4.ch004>
- 56) Selwyn, N. (2016). *Is technology good for education?* Polity Press.
- 57) Selwyn, N. (2022). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates* (3rd ed.). Bloomsbury Academic.
- 58) Shafiq, Z. (2025). Revolutionizing education: The transformative power and challenges of artificial intelligence in learning. In *Integrating Technology in Problem-Solving Educational Practices*. IGI Global.
- 59) Shermis, M. D., & Hamner, B. (2013). Contrasting state-of-the-art automated scoring of essays: Analysis. In M. D. Shermis & J. Burstein (Eds.), *Handbook of automated essay evaluation* (pp. 313–346). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203122761-19>
- 60) Shute, V. J., & Ventura, M. (2013). *Stealth assessment: Measuring and supporting learning in video games*. MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/9589.001.0001>

- 61) Siemens, G., & Baker, R. S. J. D. (2012). Learning analytics and educational data mining: Towards communication and collaboration. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge*, 252-254. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2330601.2330661>
- 62) UBEC. (2022). Digital literacy training report for basic education teachers. Universal Basic Education Commission, Abuja.
- 63) Ugwuanyi, E. C., Elejere, U. C., & Ugwuanyi, C. S. (2025). Physics Teachers' awareness of the application of artificial intelligence in teaching and learning of physics in Senior Secondary Schools in Nsukka Education Zone. *African Journal of Science, Technology and Mathematics Education*, 11(2), 11-19.
- 64) UNESCO. (2021). *Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education*. <https://doi.org/10.54675/PCSP7352>
- 65) UNESCO. (2023). *Global education monitoring report 2023: Technology in education -A tool on whose terms?* UNESCO Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.54676/UZQV8501>
- 66) UNESCO. (2023). *Guidance for generative AI in education and research*. <https://doi.org/10.54675/DMPE1658>
- 67) NESCO. (2024). *Global education monitoring report 2023: Technology in education - A tool on whose terms?* <https://doi.org/10.54676/WYWH0719>
- 68) Valavanidis, A. (2023). Artificial intelligence (AI) applications: The most important technology we ever develop and we must ensure it is safe and beneficial to human civilization. *chem-tox-ecotox.org/ScientificReviews*, 1-49.
- 69) Williamson, D. M., Xi, X., & Breyer, F. J. (2012). A framework for evaluation and use of automated scoring. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 31(1), 2–13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3992.2011.00223.x>
- 70) World Bank. (2020). *The COVID-19 pandemic: Shocks to education and policy responses*. <https://doi.org/10.1596/33696>
- 71) World Bank. (2023). *Digital transformation in education: A review of the evidence*. <https://doi.org/10.1596/39456>
- 72) Yessingeldinov, B., Rakhymbayeva, Z., Zhapparova, A. & Tursynova, L. (2023). Utilising a differentiated assessment method in mathematics class: computer adaptive testing for tracking student progress. *Global Journal of Engineering Education*, 25(1), 29 - 34.
- 73) Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 39. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>
- 74) Zhai, X., Chu, X., Cox Jr, W. E., & Li, Y. (2021). The role of artificial intelligence in science education: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Science Education*, 43(11), 1644 -1663. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2021.1925083>